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## Vagueness and Imprecision

(1) John is tall

Vague
(2) John arrived at 4 o'clock
$\square$ Different:

- Underlying precise concept (4 o'clock) vs. no underlying precise concept (tall)
$\square$ Similar:
- Lack of sharp boundaries
- Borderline cases
- Sorities paradox


## Central claim

$\square$ Linguistic facts relating to both vagueness and imprecision can be analyzed in terms of the structure of measurement scales

- A scale $S=\langle D\rangle,, D I M\rangle$
- D a set of degrees
$\square>$ an ordering on $D$
- DIM a dimension of measurement
- Measure functions $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}$ map entities to degrees
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## Imprecision



## Imprecision and roundness

- Round numbers interpreted approximately; non-round numbers interpreted imprecisely
a. There were 100 people at the meeting approximate
b. There were 99 people at the meeting precise
- Extends beyond round / non-round
a. I wrote this article in twenty-four hours
b. I wrote this article in twenty-three hours
a. Mary waited for forty-five minutes
b. Mary waited for forty minutes
approximate precise
approximate
precise


## Scale Granularity

$\square$ Krifka 2007: Results of measurement an be reported with respect to scales differing in their granularity

Duration (minutes):
a. $0------------------------------60$
b. $0-------------30--------------60$
c. $0------15------30------45------60------75$
d. 0-5-10-15-20-25-30-35-40-45-50-55-60-65-70-75-80-85-
$\square$ Pragmatic principle: numerical expression interpreted relative to coarsest-grained scale on which it occurs

- Forty-five minutes: Scale (c) - interval [ $37.5 \mathrm{~min}, 52.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ]
- Forty minutes:

Scale (d) - interval [ $38.5 \mathrm{~min}, 42.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ]

## Granularity and approximators

$\square$ Sauerland \& Stateva 2010: scalar approximators such as exactly and approximately analyzed as setting granularity level

## 4 o'clock





$$
\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text { exactly } 4 \text { o'clock } \rrbracket & =[44 \text { o'clock } \rrbracket]^{\text {gran }} \text { finest } \\
& =[4 \text { o'clock } \pm 30 \mathrm{sec}]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Granularity and pragmatic reasoning

More than 100 people More than 110 people More than 93 people
attended the meeting about the new highway construction project

- How many attended?

- Amazon MTurk
- $\mathrm{n}=100 /$ condition

From Cummins, Sauerland \& Solt (under revision)

## Granularity and pragmatic reasoning

$\square$ Cummins, Saverland \& Solt (under rev.): modified numerals give rise to scalar implicatures based on granularity (Grice 1975; Horn 1989):

- More than $n$ implicates not more than $m$, where $m$ is the next-highest value on some scale on which $n$ occurs
a. ----100----------------150----------------200
b. ----100-------125-------150-------175-------200-------220--
c. -90-100-110-120-130-140-150-160-170-180-190-200-210-220-230-


## Granularity and expression choice

$\square$ Speaker/hearer preference for approximation over precision

- Rounding when telling the time (van der Henst et al. 2002)

- Reporting of survey data:

A third of Americans (32\%) read the bible daily
$\square$ Hypothesis: Expressions interpreted relative to coarsegrained scale easier to process

## Granularity and expression choice

$\square$ Recall for clock times (Sternberg paradigm)
$\square 3$ granularity levels: coarse (e.g. 4:15), medium (e.g. 4:20), fine (e.g. 4:23)


```
\squareCoarse (4:15)
@ Medium (4:20)
\squareFine (4:23)
```

-Granularity or roundness?

EURO-XPRAG project
Preference for Approximation; Solt, Cummins \& Palmovič (in prep.)

## Summary

$\square$ Scale granularity can be productively applied to account for a range of linguist facts relating to (im)precision
$\square$ Other cases of imprecision/vagueness: a more radically different scale structure

## Imprecision/vagueness borderline



## Most and imprecise comparison

Most Americans have broadband internet access More than half of Americans have broadband internet access
$\square$ Superficially equivalent in truth conditions |Americans with broadband internet access| >
|Americans w/out broadband internet access|
$\square$ But felicitous use of most typically requires proportion 'significantly' greater than 50\%

More than half of/??most Americans are female

- Related precise concept; but resists precisification to this interpretation


## Distribution of Most /More than Half

$\square$ Most is used for proportions considerably greater than half, while more than half is used for proportions close to $50 \%$ :
(1) a. The survey showed that most students (81.5\%) do not use websites for math-related assignments (Education, 1 29(1), pp. 56-79, 2008)
b. And while more than half of us grill year-round (57 percent), summertime is overwhelmingly charcoal time (Denver Post, 24/5/2000)

## Distribution of Most /More than Half

$\square$ More than half is used for proportions close to 50\%, while most used for higher percentages:


## Distribution of Most /More than Half

More than half - but not most - requires a domain that can be individuated and counted (or otherwise quantitatively measured)
(2) a. But like most things, obesity is not spread equally across social classes (Mens Health, 23(7), p. 164, 2008)
b. Most beliefs, worries, and memories also operate outside awareness (Science News, 142(16), 1992)
(3) a. ?? But like more than half of things, obesity is not spread equally across social classes
c. ??More than half of beliefs, worries, and memories also operate outside awareness

## Distribution of Most /More than Half

$\square$ More than half - but not most - requires a domain that can be individuated and counted (or otherwise quantitatively measured)
(4) a. But black activists acknowledge that most racism is not so blatant. (Associated Press, 16/9/1991)
b. ?? But black activists acknowledge that more than half of racism is not so blatant.

- But...
(5) In 1997, non-OPEC producers accounted for more than half of world oil production. (Futurist, 33(3), p. 51, 1999)


## Two correlated differences

More than half Mosł

Precise lower bound
Restricted to contexts where numerical measurement is possible

Fuzzy lower bound
Felicitous in contexts where counting/measurement not possible

## Proposal

$\square$ Distinct logical forms (per Hackl 2009):
More than half of $A$ are $B \quad \mu_{5}(A \cap B)>\mu_{5}(A) / 2$
Most A are B

$$
\mu_{5}(A \cap B)>\mu_{s}(A-B)
$$

## Proposal

$\square$ Distinct logical forms (per Hackl 2009):
More than half of $A$ are $B \quad \mu_{s}(A \cap B)>\mu_{s}(A) / 2$
Most A are B

$$
\mu_{s}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B})>\mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B})
$$

$\square$ Place different requirements on scale structure

- More than half: support division by 2
$\square$ Ratio level: volume in liters; area in hectares; set cardinality via counting numbers; etc.
- Most: support comparison of degrees via >
- Ordinal level (rank ordering) or weaker
$>$ Account for distributional differences


## Semi-ordered scale

$\square$ Consider a scale where:

- Degrees are Gaussian curves with linearly increasing standard deviations
$\square$ Greater than relationship based on degree of overlap $a>b$ iff midpoint ( $a$ ) exceeds midpoint(b) +1 std dev
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## Number cognition and scales

$\square$ Approximate Number System (ANS)

- Primitive capacity for number
- Present in preverbal infants, societies without complex number systems - and animals
- Number encoded as analog magnitudes on mental number line
- Characterized by ratio dependence
> Leading psychological model of ANS parallel in structure to semi-ordered scale discussed above


## Summary

$\square$ Most - unlike more than half - may be interpreted relative to a semi-ordered scale structure modeled on humans' most basic numerical abilities

- In some contexts only option; in other cases, pragmatic strengthening
$\square$ Accounts for:
- Broader distribution vs. more than half
- Imprecise lower bound
$\square$ Extending typology to include scales that are not totally ordered a productive approach to the vagueness / imprecision borderline


## To vagueness...



## Implicit comparatives

Context: Anna's height -164cm; Lisabeth's height - 163 cm

## Anna is taller than Lisabeth

## \#Anna is tall compared to Lisabeth

Explicit
Implicit
$\square$ Fults 201 1: 'Analog magnitude scale'
$\square$ Van Rooij 201 1: Semi-order

> A structure $S, \succ$ where $S$ is a set and $\succ$ is a binary relation on $S$, is a semi-order iff
> $\forall x, y, z, v, w \in S$ :
> a. $\neg(x>x)$
> b. $((x \succ y) \wedge(v>w)) \rightarrow((x>w) \vee(v>y))$
> c. $((x \succ y) \wedge(y>z)) \rightarrow((x>v) \vee(v>z))$
$\forall x, y: x>y$ iff $f(x)>f(y)+\epsilon$, for some small fixed $\epsilon$

## Vagueness more broadly

$\square$ Van Rooij 2009: Semi-orders can account for other properties of vagueness

- Sorites paradox
$\square$ Hypothesus: Semi-ordered scale structures required to model speakers' use and interpretation of vague expressions
- Talk by Nicole Gotzner, 17:20 today
$\square$ Scale structure matters

