

Exploratory Workshop Scheme

Standing Committee for Life, Earth and Environmental Sciences (LESC)

Standing Committee for Physical and Engineering Sciences (PESC)

ESF Exploratory Workshop on

Laser Scanning Spatial Data Infrastructure (LaSDI)

Heidelberg (DE), 8-11 September 2011

Convened by: Bernhard Höfle ⁽¹⁾, Norbert Pfeifer ⁽²⁾ and Alexander Zipf ⁽¹⁾

① Institute of Geography, Chair of GIScience, University of Heidelberg, DE
② Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Vienna University of Technology, AT

ABSTRACTS

Appendix - Abstracts

The abstracts can be published on the ESF website.

Session: LiDAR Data Acquisition and Management

| Title | LiDAR Data Management from a trans-national perspective |
|---|---|
| Author(s) | Gottfried Mandlburger |
| Session | LiDAR Data Acquisition and Management |
| Affiliation | Vienna University of Technology, Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing |
| Abstract | |
| Affiliation Vienna University of Technology, Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing | |

| Title | Efficient data stars and naturally transfer by means of a compressed | |
|---|--|--|
| Title | Efficient data storage and network transfer by means of a compressed multiresolution DTM model | |
| Author(c) | | |
| Author(s) | Maria Antonia Brovelli, Giorgio Zamboni | |
| Session | LiDAR Data Acquisition and Management | |
| Affiliation | Politecnico di Milano, DIIAR | |
| Abstract | | |
| The presen | tation deals with the problem of efficiently store and transfer through the Internet, | |
| without los | ing their original accuracy, the high resolution digital terrain models (DTMs) | |
| nowadays a | available. A significant example of such data are the DTMs obtained from LIDAR | |
| (Light Detection And Ranging), where up to several height measurements for each terrain | | |
| | er are obtained. | |
| An important limit in their usage is that many Geographical Information Systems (GIS) | | |
| available are slow when the management and processing of a huge quantity of data is | | |
| involved. The problem becomes more evident in cases of Web-GISs and virtual globes: | | |
| when the systems are based on a frequent flow of height data, the network band-width and | | |
| the size of the data to be transmitted are two fundamental factors in order to guarantee the | | |
| really usability of these technologies. In the presentation we focus our attention on high | | |
| resolution DTMs and we briefly analyse the problem related to the definition of the minimal | | |
| | | |
| | information necessary to store and transmit DTMs, with a fixed tolerance, starting from a | |
| | huge number of accurate observations. A multi-resolution spline approximation model is | |
| | proposed. Our model is able to provide a metrical accuracy at least comparable with that of | |
| | the most common interpolation algorithms and, at the same time, to significantly reduce the | |
| minimal information essential for storing, transmitting and rebuilding the DTM. First results | | |
| on the accu | racy and percentage of compression obtained on sample datasets are shown. | |

Session: Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI)

| Title | Providing Guidance on Metadata Capture to A Multi-National Team | |
|--|--|--|
| Author(s) | Claire Ellul | |
| Session | Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI) | |
| Affiliation | University College London, Civil, Environmental & Geomatic Engineering | |
| Abstract | | |
| metadata s very few that users were consequent metadata c sufficient in the metada The preser assist them metadata, v | Abstract The recent INSPIRE conference in Edinburgh highlighted once again that metadata and metadata standards are very producer centric. During the many presentations there were very few that focused on or mentioned end-users of the metadata and in most cases the end users were involved directly in metadata creation. While this is perhaps a direct consequence of the INSPIRE deadlines, it is very important to consider the users of the metadata during the metadata creation process - in particular does the metadata contain sufficient information to allow them to evaluate the data, and make appropriate use of it? Do the metadata standards help to meet this requirement or hinder it? The presentation will focus on a series of guidelines created for metadata producers to assist them in providing appropriate metadata for end users - differentiating between minimal metadata, which is easy to produce but perhaps not useful for evaluation, and over-detailed metadata, where production is an onerous task but the results may be more useful. | |

| Title | Efficient LiDAR Processing in Standardized SDIs for Web-based 3D City Models |
|--|--|
| Author(s) | Sandra Lanig |
| Session | Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI) |
| Affiliation | University of Heidelberg, Institute of Geography, Chair of GIScience |
| Abstract | |
| Affiliation University of Heidelberg, Institute of Geography, Chair of GIScience | |

Session: LiDAR Data Analysis and Visualization

| Title | Segmentation of LiDAR data: application on 3D point clouds and Full waveform | |
|---|---|--|
| | data | |
| Author(s) | Frédéric Bretar | |
| Session | LiDAR Data Analysis and Visualization | |
| Affiliation | Public Works Regional Engineering Office (CETE) - Public Works Regional | |
| | Laboratory | |
| Abstract | | |
| | s of raw LiDAR data states the problem of the automatic recognition of areas and | |
| specific obj | ects. Based on recent research works, examples of segmentation methodologies | |
| | will be presented from both 3D point clouds and Full Waveform LiDAR data on | |
| | d urban landscapes. On the one hand, we will discuss the supervised | |
| segmentation | segmentation approach Support Vector Machines on an urban area wherein attributes | |
| extracted from full waveform data have been rationally analysed. On the other hand, the | | |
| "Mean Shift" unsupervised methodology will be briefly presented and results will be | | |
| discussed regarding the segmentation of forest strata as well as single trees. Finally, links | | |
| between LiDAR data infrastructure at the European level and issues from the data analysis | | |
| will be sketched. | | |
| | | |

| Title | |
|---|--|
| | |
| Author(s) | |
| Session | |
| Affiliation | |
| Abstract | |
| Lidar has become an important resource for a range of natural hazard applications and the engineering management solutions which attempt to mitigate associated problems. Amongst others, this includes landslide hazard assessment and coastal change, examples of which will be presented and discussed here. Such applications generate a demand for high quality, high resolution outputs, which are often founded on DTMs, in order to support change detection, volumetric calculation, and landscape visualisation and characterisation. The recent trend towards uptake and development of full waveform lidar presents new possibilities for these applications, including enhanced DTM generation, and a potential wealth of additional information. However, effective manipulation and management of lidar data presents a host of challenges. Lidar generally suffers from a lack of standardisation and a consistent data management structure. Often relatively little is known about the quality and pre-processing associated with the original survey. Such aspects are compounded by large data volumes and the associated difficulties in effective storage, management and processing. These issues must be addressed if lidar is to continue to develop and be exploited in full, allowing effective integration with other data sources. | |

| Title | 3D generalization |
|---|--|
| Author(s) | Monika Sester |
| Session | LiDAR Data Analysis and Visualization |
| Affiliation | Leibnitz Universität Hannover, Institute of Cartography and Geoinformatics |
| Abstract | |
| Lidar offers the potential of a rapid acquisition of high resolution 3D point clouds. For an usage in a spatial data infrastructure, a major interest lies in the management and access to interpreted 2.5 or 3D objects. Such objects are needed in different levels of detail - depending on the applications. In the presentation, methods for the generalization of 2.5D and 3D-objects are presented, which mainly aim at an enhanced visualization as well as an efficient data transmission. | |

Session: Reference Projects

| Title | OpenTopography.org | |
|---|---|--|
| Author(s) | Chaitan Baru | |
| Session | Reference Projects | |
| Affiliation | San Diego Supercomputer Center, UC San Diego | |
| Abstract | | |
| The OpenT | Topography.org data portal democratizes access to high-resolution topographic | |
| data and t | tools by providing access to these resources to a broad user community. | |
| | graphy.org provides access to high-resolution LiDAR point cloud data as well as | |
| | ed digital elevation model data (DEMs). Users may download existing data | |
| | or use the tools and services provided to derive their own DEMs or other data | |
| | A rich user interface allows users to track jobs, and data providers to monitor | |
| | neir contributed data. The OpenTopography effort originated initially as a sub- | |
| | he Geosciences Network (GEON) project and referred to as the GEON LiDAR | |
| | GLW). Since then, OpenTopography.org has been launched as a standalone | |
| | at leverages the significant cyberinfrastructure resources at the San Diego | |
| | outer Center. The site partners with a number of public domain data holders, | |
| | including other groups, projects, and individual researchers-who are the ultimate data | |
| | owners-to leverage OpenTopography infrastructure for data discovery, hosting and | |
| | processing of their data by a larger user community. Data may also be stored with fixed- | |
| | duration embargoes, if necessary, which allows exclusive access to only a few "privileged" | |
| | users. While the current focus is on airborne LiDAR point cloud data, we are also working | |
| | towards providing access to satellite-based data as well as terrestrial laser scan data. This | |
| | talk will describe the available data, tools and services at OpenTopography; the technical | |
| | infrastructure; and, some technical challenges along with a discussion of some future | |
| directions for LiDAR data storage and processing. | | |