

The image shows the letters 'DFG' in a bold, white, sans-serif font, set against a blue background with a blurred, bokeh effect of light and geometric shapes. The letters are slightly tilted and have a subtle shadow, giving them a three-dimensional appearance.

DFG

# Final Report of the MOF on Evaluation

Final Report of the ESF MO Forum on Evaluation / Anke Reinhardt  
Oslo, 8 May 2012

# Final Output of the Forum

1. Reports of the WGs
  - A guide to Quality Assurance of Evaluation projects (WG1)
  - A guide to Impact Assessment (WG2)
  - A guide to capturing output data (WG3)
2. „Umbrella Document“ – Final Report of the full Forum
3. Dissemination/Dissemination Events

# Final Report Overview - Things to be discussed

1. Objectives of the report
2. Target groups
3. Suggested structure
4. Open questions
5. Next steps and timeline

# Objectives of the Report

1. To give insights into the practices of ex-post evaluations from the perspective of European research organisations
  - draw up the results of the 3 WGs.
2. To provide some shared definitions and reach some agreement on concepts.
3. To explore the opportunities and challenges of evaluation and monitoring.
4. To give recommendations – practical advice and political considerations.
5. To show up further fields of activities.

# Target groups of the report

1. The respective national organisations (experienced/less experienced):
  - Heads of organisations
  - People in charge of evaluations.
2. Policy actors:
  - On international level: e.g. Science Europe
  - On national level: e.g. ministries

# Suggested structure of the report (1/2)

1. Introduction with key concepts/definitions:
  - Why do evaluation?
  - What type/form of evaluation do we mean?
  - Distinction between evaluation and monitoring
  - What is specific about research evaluation?
2. Main body
  - Opportunities of research evaluation
  - Limits of evaluation
  - Methodology of evaluation
  - Responsibilities of evaluation
  - Merits of harmonized approaches and the merits of subsidiarity

## Suggested structure of the report (2/2)

3. Short summary of each Working Group (1-2 pages):
  - Main results
  - Recommendations
4. Overall Recommendations
5. Outlook/ Potential further activities
6. Literature
7. Annex
  - Set-up of the Forum
  - Links to other Fora/networks/Outreach activities
  - List of participants

# Open questions

1. Agreement on proposed structure?
2. Anything important missing?
3. Which terms and concepts need to be defined?
4. What parts to be visualised?
5. How to integrate the different WG results? How to link to the Indicators Forums (other other Fora's) work?
6. How to make it more „lively“? Quotes/Examples/Pictures
7. Next steps and timeline



# Next steps and timeline

1. Feedback of today's discussion included in the draft report
  - May 31
2. Inclusion of the WG's results into the report/Collection of the material
  - June 15
3. Further sharpening/phrasing of the final report
  - June 30
4. Editing
  - July 31
5. Feedback loop
  - August 15
6. Proofreading and layouting
  - September 15



DFG

**Thank you for your attention!**