



Research funding and expertise

How the Academy of Finland ensures the quality of ext-post evaluations

Annamaija Lehvo



Guidelines for Evaluations

Guidelines for the evaluation of Academy of Finland Research Programmes Guidelines for the evaluation of research fields and disciplines

Ethical principles

- IMPARTIALITY
 - Appropriate experts: no conflicts of interest, diverse combination of expertises
- ACCURACY
 - Transparent, open & flexible process
 - Right to reply; Justified assessments
- CONFIDENTALITY
 - Trust and liability
 - · Business and research ideas safeguarded



ACADEMY OF FINLAND RESEARCH PROGRAMMES

- To fields considered of key importance in terms of science and society
- Designed to advance a certain field of research, raise its scientific standards, and create new scientific knowledge and knowhow
- Multidisciplinarity and interdisciplinarity & international cooperation
- Focused on a defined subject area, scheduled to run for a set period and composed of several research projects
- Fixed-term funding provided for at least four years
- Coordinated management
- In 2008 the Academy funded 11 research programmes



Ex-post evaluations of Research Programmes

Objectives

- attainment of the objectives set out for research programmes evaluated upon their completion
- evaluated against the starting-points of the programme, its objectives and funding volume
- Info on how the objectives of the programme were attained, on its success in generating new information and on the added value it produces
- feedback on the programme process and coordination as well as other information that is useful for purposes of science policy planning and decision-making
- contributes to the development of the evaluation process itself and serves as a learning process for those involved in the evaluation
- feedback to the researchers



Follow-up and evaluation plan

A **public** follow-up and evaluation plan is drawn up for every research programme from the early stages

- Objectives of the follow-up and evaluation
- Responsible bodies
- Methods of evaluation
- Timetables
- Evaluation material that shall be collected.
- Detail the areas of the programme to be evaluated
- Evaluation criteria



The *evaluation* breaks down into the following *components*

(final set of evaluation criteria will depend upon the objectives set for the programme evaluated)

Planning of the research programme

- Preparation of the programme and planning of the programme content
- Research projects funded and funding decisions in creating the necessary preconditions for the programme

Activities and results of the research programme (most important component to evaluate)

- Scientific quality and results
- How are the programme objectives achieved?
- Added value of the programme
- Coordination activities and the results in relation to the available resources

Societal impacts of the research programme



Implementation of evaluation

A key objective of evaluation is that it has immediate *utility value*. The following factors are therefore crucial:

- The evaluation questions are clearly defined
- The objectivity and expertise of the evaluators are ensured
- High quality standards are maintained throughout the evaluation process
- The main conclusions of the evaluation are clearly set out and their relevance to the programme objectives is established
- Careful thought shall be given to the materials collected for the evaluation and to the criteria and methods applied



Duties and responsibilities of parties involved in the evaluation

- The final evaluation of a research programme is conducted by the method of peer evaluation, in which a group of primarily foreign experts evaluates the success of the programme
- Programme steering group plans and implements the programme evaluation, appoints a
 Chair for the evaluation panel as well as its members, draws up an assignment for the
 panel including the objectives of the evaluation
- **Programme manager** (and AoF civil cervants): Assisting role in the evaluation process, Collects, compiles and prepares the evaluation materials for the panel; Organises the self-evaluation, Presents to the panel Finnish innovation system, the Academy's role, tasks and organisation and the programme instrument
- Researchers assess the programme for general success as well as their own contribution



REPORTING AND UTILISATION OF EVALUATION

Evaluation report prepared by the evaluation panel (sometimes with an expert secretary)

- Description of the evaluation panel, its composition and assignment
- A brief description of the evaluation panel's work: meetings, interviews, material used in the evaluation
- Description of the evaluation criteria and methods used by the evaluation panel
- Evaluation proper
- Conclusions and recommendations
- Research councils: draw up an *after-care plan* for the programme, including proposals on the implementation of the recommendations (in so far as they are considered justified)
- Other funding bodies supporting the research
- Researchers, other end-users of the results and the media



FUTURE

New Academy of Finland's Research Programme Strategy will be published soon (previous for 2003-2007)

- More focus on impact evaluation of research programmes
- Revised evaluation guidelines