#### WG 3 Project

A pilot study to design and develop a set of

# Common European Indicators of Internationalization

#### First steps of the project

- ♦ Rome Workshop (31 March-1 April 2008): decision to launch a working group to explore the feasibility of developing a small set or common indicators
- ◆ Paris (30 April 2008): WG meeting
  - Choice of the level :
  - i) institutions as a whole
  - ii) entity just below (department, institute...)
    - Choice of the criteria :
- i) internationalization/embedding in international collaboration (both European scale and world scale)
  - ii) innovation capacities of organizations

## First steps of the project (2)

- ♦ Vienna Workshop (6-7 October 2008): 3 sessions devoted; decision
- to learn more about the measure of innovation capacity : on ESF agenda
  - to launch a pilot study on internationalization
- ♦ WG Phone meetings (March-April 2009) :
  - a stepwise project with
  - a design phase
  - a production phase
- need for a collaboration of experts in science policy / indicators design

### **Project features (1)**

#### Type of project: bottom-up process

- driven by effective needs of organizations
- based on their experience as Research performing organizations
  - pilot exploratory work (not a large overview)
- providing new operative results (not just a report on existing practices)

### **Project features (2)**

#### Content

- some part of research :
- internationalization is not completely understood
- present indicators do not capture all facets of internationalization
- a collection of existing indicators is not enough
  - the design of a set of indicators
  - operational recommendations
- precise definition of the data to collect
- indications on how to produce and maintain these indicators (allowing follow-up in time and possible benchmarking)

ESF MO Forum Evaluation of Funding Schemes and Research Programmes

#### A stepwise project

**STEP A:** definition of the terms of reference of the study; providing expertise on indicators and some research work; selection of the facets of internationalization to measure

**STEP B:** review of existing indicators and available data, selection of a small set of indicators

**STEP C:** full description of the indicators; proposition of a production model; communication about these results among ESF MOs

**STEP D:** testing the production of the indicators for participating organizations

ESF MO Forum Evaluation of Funding Schemes and Research Programmes

WG3: Common indicators of internationalization

## Actors: Working Group, Scientific advisory group, ESF

#### Working Group: 6 to 10 RPOs expected

- presently: INFN (Italy), CNR (Italy), KNAW(NL), Inra (France)
- ◆ other type of research / organization / countries to enlarge the present core group
  (eg. a sample of ESF MOs : Germany, UK, Central and East Europe, academy, biological-medical RPO, engineering / technical RPO)

ESF MO Forum Evaluation of Funding Schemes and Research Programmes

#### Actors (2)

#### **Scientific Advisory Group**

- experts in science policy / indicator design / indicator production
  - from different European countries
  - involved in evaluation actions at national level
  - involved in European projects
- ◆ cooperate with the WG to chose the facets to analyse and the related indicators
- provide the precise definition of the selected indicators and operational recommendations on how to implement them

ESF MO Forum Evaluation of Funding Schemes and Research Programmes

#### Actors (3)

#### Scientific advisory group

- ♦ Emanuela Reale (CERIS, CNR)
- ◆ Annamaria Inzelt (IKU, Innovation Research Centre, Budapest)
  - ♦ Ghislaine Filliatreau (OST)
  - Benedetto Lepori (U della Svizzera italiana)
  - ♦ Peter van der Besselaar (Rathenau Institute)

### Actors (4)

## ESF expected support : facilitate this cooperative project between MOs

- formal support, communication about the study among the other MOs
  - staff to help coordination
  - some financial support (low cost project!)

#### Next Action: a working session (1)

Schedule: early Fall 2009

Participants: core WG + Scientific advisory group (+1 Funding agency as observer)

Aim: define the terms of reference for the pilot study = a fuller description of the work to be done, the schedule, financial needs

#### Next Action: a working session (2)

#### **Method**:

- ◆ benefit from scientific expertise to achieve one objective of this forum design appropriate indicators to better describe/assert the internationalization process at work
- ♦ find the balance between generic and specific aspects of internationalization

#### Next Action: a working session (3)

Documents to prepare the working session:

- description of the specific interest for internationalization of each MO of the WG + information about already used indicators if any) (MOS of the WG)
- some reference papers on indicators of internationalization (the scientific advisory group)