#### ESF MOF on Science in Society Relationships

#### Chapter 4 Recommendations

#### Increasing interaction with society in order to achieve a better society and increased quality of research and innovation

Camilla Modéer november 2011





# Researchers are normal people with exceptionally exciting jobs!



X

#### General trends

- Loss of confidence in authorities incl researchers
- Information overload
- Increased mobility yields openness to non-scientific perspectives



#### **Conclusions - statements**

- Science is for the benefit of Society
- Public Engagement is for the benefit of Science
- Two-way dialogue Science Society is necessary
- ALL sciences must be involved
- Change of attitudes crucial: SiS essential part of science
- Researchers must be rewarded
- Patience and urgency
- Respect diversity



#### Key recommendations to Member Organisations

- Make SiS part of science policy and strategy expressed in Mission Statements, written and spoken
- Better SiS practices needed
- Coordinate efforts for better impact
  - A Common Agreement on SiS by ESF/ScienceEurope MOs
  - Exchange of experiences and best practices
  - Develop systems for measuring SiS and their impact
  - Establish a joint group for national and international SiS
- Reward researchers and institutions
  - Make SiS an intrinsic part of research funding
  - Introduce evaluation methods and indicators



Make SiS part of science policy and strategy – expressed in Mission Statements, written and spoken

Include SiS actively in operations Influence Macro and Micro level Actors

- Inclusive: All sciences
- Recognition: Individuals and Institutions must be rewarded
- Structure: SiS incorporated in MOs operations
- Variety: Listen to different actors in society and formulate target groups clearly
- Inclusive: Involve all individuals, groups, institutions

#### Better SiS practices needed Key recommendations for Funding Agencies

- Survey current situation. Does it fit with SiS mission statement?
- Identify activities of researchers, consider audience, impact, quality, cost...
- Identify ways to increase and enhance researcher participation in SiS relations
- Identify gaps in funding, capacities and expertise where extra funding or support could improve the situation.



#### Better SiS practices needed Key recommendations for Research Institutes

- Survey current situation. Does it fit with SiS mission statement? Identify activities of researchers, consider audience, impact, quality, cost...
- Identify ways to increase and enhance researcher participation in SiS relations
- Identify gaps in funding, capacities and expertise, and plan to provide necessary funding, training or support
- Develop training for stakeholders, researchers, university presidents and publics of different kinds

#### Coordinate efforts for better impact

- A Common Agreement on SiS by MOs of ESF/ScienceEurope (and others)
- Exchange of experiences and best practices
- Develop systems for measuring SiS and their impact
- Establish a joint group for national and international SiS



#### **Reward Researchers and Institutions**

- Make SiS an intrinsic part of research funding
  - SiS requirements at grant application stage and in reports
  - Use SiS as a differentiator
  - Begin to collect data on SiS
  - Allocate money for SiS in grant awards
  - Allocate money for SiS-promoting activities
- Introduce evaluation methods and indicators
  - Activities time spent
  - Resources budget and human resources
  - Income
  - Develop impact measurements
  - Indicators should be simple, transparent, easy to collect, generally accepted



The ongoing

### Process

## in and among the MOs and with the surrounding society is the most important achievement

## What did we miss?

