



Results of WG 1

Repository

1. Link list on websites of the organisations: where they publish evaluation studies)
2. Link list on websites of the organisations: where they publish the evaluation strategy)

Report of WG1: General direction

- try to find the consensus/common ground,
- accommodate different types of organisations/types of evaluations/needs,
- establish a common vocabulary

Structure of the Report of WG 1

1. Introduction:

- Purpose (good practice, learn from each other, illustration,...)
- Material (survey ...)
- Building on the former report:
 - classification of the different kinds of evaluation,
 - different kinds of institutions, short description what is a funding agency, what is an RPO)

2. Main body:

Process Model

Description, fed with

- results of the survey,
- links to previous Forum,
- links to WG 2 and 3,

3. Conclusions:

Golden rules

Outlook/ further work to be done

Titel der Präsentation / Name des Referenten (über Einfügen --> Kopf- und Fußzeile einstellen)

4 Ort, Datum (über Einfügen --> Kopf- und Fußzeile einstellen)

Outcome/impact/dissemination

Member organisations are asked to adopt the „Golden Rules“

Presentation at (national) Evaluation societies/associations/networks

Umbrella document of all WG

Contribution to the Road Map

Forum on Evaluation of publicly funded research (participants, workshops, workgroups)

Overarching issues of the three Working Groups

- Transparency, relevance/adequacy, usefulness

Guidelines/recommendations of all WG