

# EUROPEAN SCIENCE FOUNDATION

**EUROCORES**  
Pan-European Clinical trials

## EVALUATION FORM

*Proposal Number & Acronym:*

### **SUPPORT YOUR MARKS WITH WRITTEN COMMENT**

THIS FORM MAY BE COPIED AND PASSED BACK UNATTRIBUTED AND ANONYMOUSLY  
TO THE APPLICANT(S)

BEFORE PROCEEDING READ THE SECTION ON DECLARATION OF INTEREST AT THE  
END OF THIS FORM

**a/ Scientific quality of the proposal** (please include in you assessment whether this therapeutic model is needed, original and timely and what will be its added value)

*Please mark as appropriate:*

1: poor

2: average

3: good

4: very good  
(Internationally  
competitive)

5: excellent  
(Internationally  
leading)

1. **Relevance of the proposal to initial call for projects and the guidelines for submission of full proposals (copies annexed)**

Please mark as appropriate:

|                               |                                  |                               |                                    |                                    |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 1: poor | <input type="radio"/> 2: average | <input type="radio"/> 3: good | <input type="radio"/> 4: very good | <input type="radio"/> 5: excellent |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

2. **Standing and suitability of the Principal Investigators and of their groups for this project** (include in your assessment whether the teams have the correct critical mass and supporting infrastructure for this project)

Please mark as appropriate:

|                               |                                  |                               |                                    |                                    |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 1: poor | <input type="radio"/> 2: average | <input type="radio"/> 3: good | <input type="radio"/> 4: very good | <input type="radio"/> 5: excellent |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

**3. The Level of Collaborative Interaction between the Groups in this Project and the Added European Value this Brings**

Please mark as appropriate:

|                               |                                  |                               |                                    |                                    |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 1: poor | <input type="radio"/> 2: average | <input type="radio"/> 3: good | <input type="radio"/> 4: very good | <input type="radio"/> 5: excellent |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

**4. Methodology, feasibility and workplan of the proposal**

Please mark as appropriate:

|                               |                                  |                               |                                    |                                    |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 1: poor | <input type="radio"/> 2: average | <input type="radio"/> 3: good | <input type="radio"/> 4: very good | <input type="radio"/> 5: excellent |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

**5. Comment on the requested budget of the total project (highlight specific headings as well as the total, especially where costs are not adequately justified)**

*Please mark as appropriate:*

*certainly underestimated*

*probably underestimated*

*fully adequate*

*probably overestimated*

*certainly overestimated*

**6. Comment on the individual budgets of the Research Groups in the project, paying attention to their scientific input.**

**7. Overall evaluation: Please explain your evaluation**

Please mark as appropriate:

1: poor

2: average

3: good

4: very good  
(Internationally  
competitive)

5: excellent  
(Internationally  
leading)

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS** (add any comments you have not been able to include in the boxes above, especially for feedback to the applicants)

THIS FORM MAY BE COPIED AND PASSED BACK UNATTRIBUTED AND ANONYMOUSLY  
TO THE APPLICANT(S)

**THE DATA ON THIS PAGE WILL BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL  
IT WILL NOT BE PASSED TO APPLICANTS**

**Referee's personal data**

Title:                      First name:                      Surname:

Address:

Contact phone no.:

E-mail:

Expertise Key words (up to 5):

OPTIONAL: To assist ESF in monitoring its refereeing processes, please answer the following two questions:

Age:                      years

Gender:                      M / F

**DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

If you believe you stand to **directly benefit** financially, professionally or personally from the success or failure of this proposal **you should not referee this proposal**. You should **also not referee this proposal** if you are presently a member of a standing body of the ESF or **have co-authored with the applicant within the last 3 years**. In any of these circumstances, you should contact the EMRC secretariat as soon as possible

Otherwise, if you have current or have had past collaborations not connected to this proposal, or any other potential **indirect interest**, **you should declare this and referee the proposal**. Your declaration will be used solely by the Review Panel and will not be disclosed elsewhere.

**Normal scientific interaction** eg at conferences, workshops, professional activities etc **need not be declared**.

**It will be assumed that you have no interest in the proposal if you chose not to make a declaration.**

**Failure to declare an interest** may result in you being removed from the list of referees for this programme, in notification to your nominating national organisation and in disqualification from submitting proposals to the programme.