ESF RESEARCH CONFERENCES FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Age		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Under 35	40,7%	22
35-44	24,1%	13
45-54	16,7%	9
55-64	9,3%	5
65 or older	9,3%	5
	answered question	54
	skipped question	2

2. Gender Response Percent Response Count Female 20,4% 11 Male 79,6% 43 skipped question 54

3. Type of organisation		
	Response Percent	Response Count
University	92,9%	52
Other non-profit research organisation	7,1%	4
Industry	0,0%	0
Policy	0,0%	0
Other	0,0%	0
	answered question	56
	skipped question	0

4. What was your role at the conference? Response Response Percent Count Chair/Co-Chair/Organising 5,5% 3 Committee Invited speaker 41,8% 23 Presented a short talk 29,1% 16 Presented a poster 10,9% 6 Participant without any presentation 12,7% 7 answered question 55 skipped question

5. Did you receive financial support?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Full grant (conference fee and lump sum for travel)	21,4%	12
Partial grant (conference fee only)	57,1%	32
Travel contribution	5,4%	3
Subsidy (half the conference fee)	7,1%	4
No grant	8,9%	5
	answered question	56
	skipped question	0

6. How many conferences do you attend per year on average? Response Response Percent Count Under 2 25,5% 14 2-5 58,2% 32 6-10 10,9% 6 11 or more 5,5% 3 answered question 55 skipped question 1

7. How did you learn about this conference?

	Response Percent	Response Count
From the chair	46,3%	25
From a colleague/friend	31,5%	17
ESF webpage	0,0%	0
Other webpage	5,6%	3
Mailing from ESF	9,3%	5
Other mailing list	5,6%	3
Journal	0,0%	0
Don't remember	1,9%	1
Other	0,0%	0
	answered question	54
	skipped question	2

8. Why did you attend this conference? (please tick all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Recommendation from colleague/friend	37,7%	20
Reputation of chair(s)/speakers	54,7%	29
Update my knowledge/fine tune my research direction	64,2%	34
Network/create new lasting contacts	50,9%	27
Find a new position/project/career opportunity	7,5%	4
Discuss my research	71,7%	38
	Other (please specify)	4
	answered question	53
	skipped question	3

9. Scientific programme

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	58,5% (31)	35,8% (19)	5,7% (3)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,47	53
						answered	question	53
						skipped question		

10. Quality of chairs, speakers and talks

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	58,5% (31)	39,6% (21)	1,9% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,43	53
						answered	question	53
						skipped	question	3

11. Quality of discussions

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	50,9% (27)	35,8% (19)	13,2% (7)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,62	53
			answered question				53	
						skipped	question	3

12. Quality of poster sessions if any

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	32,0% (16)	36,0% (18)	24,0% (12)	6,0% (3)	0,0% (0)	2,0% (1)	2,12	50
			answered question				50	
					skipped question			6

13. Networking opportunities

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	36,5% (19)	46,2% (24)	13,5% (7)	3,8% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,85	52
						answered	question	52
						skipped	question	4

14. Conference atmosphere

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	71,7% (38)	24,5% (13)	3,8% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,32	53
						answered question		
					skipped question		3	

15. Quality of social activities

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	68,0% (34)	26,0% (13)	4,0% (2)	2,0% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,40	50
						answered	question	50
						skipped	question	6

16. Quality of conference venue (location, meals, accommodation, meeting room)

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	40,4% (21)	46,2% (24)	3,8% (2)	9,6% (5)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,83	52
						answered	question	52
						skipped	question	4

17. ESF Conferences' serv	ices (before, during, after)
17. Edi dolliciciles sei v	ices (belote, during, arter)

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	52,8% (28)	28,3% (15)	18,9% (10)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,66	53
						answered question		53
						skipped question		3

1	8.	Cost	/hen	efit	ratio
---	----	------	------	------	-------

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	48,1% (25)	38,5% (20)	11,5% (6)	1,9% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,67	52
						Other (pleas	e specify)	3
						answered	question	52
						skipped	question	4

19. How do you rate the conference overall?

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Please tick as appropriate	61,5% (32)	30,8% (16)	7,7% (4)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,46	52
						answered question		52
						skipped question		4

20. Do you expect that your participation will lead to (please tick all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
New publications	57,7%	30
Collaborations	80,8%	42
Job opportunities	13,5%	7
Joint project proposals	26,9%	14
ESF proposal	3,8%	2
Other	7,7%	4
	answered question	52
	skipped question	4

21. How did the conference compare to similar events? Response Response Count Percent Much better 21,6% 11 Better 47,1% 24 Similar 27,5% 14 0,0% Worse 0 Much worse 0,0% 0 Not comparable 3,9% 2 answered question 51 skipped question 5

22. If you could improve one thing about ESF Conferences, what would it be?

	Response Percent	Response Count
More short talks	10,5%	4
More high-level lectures	13,2%	5
More discussions	28,9%	11
Parallel sessions	7,9%	3
Decrease conference fee	10,5%	4
Make conference shorter	7,9%	3
Make conference longer	10,5%	4
Change location	0,0%	0
Faster feedback on applications	7,9%	3
Earlier registrations	2,6%	1
	Other suggestions?	9
	answered question	38
	skipped question	18

23. Would you like to receive updates on ESF Research Conferences in the following fields?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Call for partnerships		18,8%	6
Call for proposals		28,1%	9
Sponsorship facilities		15,6%	5
Earth and environmental sciences		0,0%	0
Humanities/Social sciences		0,0%	0
Life sciences		15,6%	5
Material and engineering sciences		6,3%	2
Mathematics; Medical sciences		78,1%	25
Physical sciences		50,0%	16
	ans	wered question	32
	si	kipped question	24