

RESCUE Stakeholders Conference – Thematic Session

‘Vision: Toward Innovation’

Chair Josee van Eindhoven; Rapporteur: Bas Pedroli

Ensure the relevance and quality of the contents / issues related to ‘**Vision: Toward Innovation**’ in the draft RESCUE report, through active participation of all present in the session.

The discussion should, focus on **the clarity and coherence of the Recommendations**. (p.6)

Comments on each chapter are welcome in the light of the recommendations discussed in the group:

- the relevance and adequacy of the arguments;
- any missing or incomplete points;

Present the session summary during the plenary session on 16th May, late afternoon (10 minutes).

‘Vision: Toward Innovation’				
1. ‘Recommendations’				
	IMPORTANCE / COHERENCE	CLARITY / CONFIDENCE	FEASIBILITY / DESIRABILITY	COMMENTS / SUGGESTIONS
A1				To be split up in two
A5	This to be taken as the key recommendation (together with A4, with which much overlap) Yes, very important as a whole, but will it be recognised as new?	Report as a whole convincing, but separate recommendations not concrete enough	Questionable how to do this? Practical ways should be better indicated. Paradox of Innovation program to be more simple and still more integrated!	General comment: beautiful overall vision, but feasibility problematic; add evidence, examples of how it can work. Innovation is in the collaboration with science, technology for the society. Role of stakeholders to be better profiled (incl. assessment of research).
A2 / A3	As such appropriate, but phrased too much in defensive way, promoting SS and H. Could be combined with A3	Too pathetic		‘redress’: perhaps rather ‘better understanding’ Innovative redefining societal challenges for science
A6 / A7				Indicate what goes wrong when we would NOT do these long-term actions

	IMPORTANCE / COHERENCE	CLARITY / CONFIDENCE	FEASIBILITY / DESIRABILITY	COMMENTS / SUGGESTIONS
	General comments			Emphasise triangle science – business – policy. Policy does not go much further than political will, which is based in society
			Risks are large of not being accepted by certain communities	Clear roadmap of implementation actions to be added, especially when using wording like revolutionary – paradigm change – radical transition, etc. Don't use too much of these terms, but get people to pay attention to the message
				Why not add reference to CAP as perfect experimental case?
				Relationship Europe – rest of world missing

'Vision: Toward Innovation'

2. Other comments

...