

The goal of the visit was to prepare the main lines of a paper on our joint work with Bob van Tiel. In view of new experimental evidence for French gathered in May and June 2012 in Lyon, we have discussed the effect of visual cues on the ‘contrast effect’ for *only* mentioned in Jayez and van Tiel (2012). The idea is to present subjects with a picture where a sentence of the form ‘a has only a X’ is clearly true and alternative pictures under various conditions. If subjects’ reasoning is based on contrast, one predicts that all the pictures logically compatible with ‘x has not only a X’ will be selected as answers to a question of the form ‘who does not have only a X’. When no contrast-triggering picture is provided, the answer should be ‘classical’ (compatible with Horn’s description of *only*). The Lyon experiment showed no difference between the two conditions (absence or presence of a contrast-triggering picture). Since the design was strictly within-subject, we have decided to reanalyze the experiment and, if necessary, to run an additional between-subject experiment for French. We have also discussed at length the differences between children and adults in obtaining the Beaver and Clarke response-type. Finally, we have corrected some stimuli in our initial experiment for English and decided to rerun the experiment with the new stimuli.