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Guidelines for Evaluations

Guidelines for the evaluation of Academy of Finland Research Programmes
Guidelines for the evaluation of research fields and disciplines

Ethical principles

- **IMPARTIALITY**
  - Appropriate experts: no conflicts of interest, diverse combination of expertises

- **ACCURACY**
  - Transparent, open & flexible process
  - Right to reply; Justified assessments

- **CONFIDENTIALITY**
  - Trust and liability
  - Business and research ideas safeguarded
ACADEMY OF FINLAND RESEARCH PROGRAMMES

- To fields considered of key importance in terms of science and society
- Designed to advance a certain field of research, raise its scientific standards, and create new scientific knowledge and knowhow
- Multidisciplinarity and interdisciplinarity & international cooperation
- Focused on a defined subject area, scheduled to run for a set period and composed of several research projects
- Fixed-term funding provided for at least four years
- Coordinated management
- In 2008 the Academy funded 11 research programmes
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Ex-post evaluations of Research Programmes

**Objectives**

- attainment of the objectives set out for research programmes evaluated upon their completion
- evaluated against the starting-points of the programme, its objectives and funding volume
- Info on how the objectives of the programme were attained, on its success in generating new information and on the added value it produces
- feedback on the programme process and coordination as well as other information that is useful for purposes of science policy planning and decision-making
- contributes to the development of the evaluation process itself and serves as a learning process for those involved in the evaluation
- feedback to the researchers
GUIDELINES FOR THE EVALUATION OF ACADEMY OF FINLAND RESEARCH PROGRAMMES

Follow-up and evaluation plan

A public follow-up and evaluation plan is drawn up for every research programme from the early stages

- Objectives of the follow-up and evaluation
- Responsible bodies
- Methods of evaluation
- Timetables
- Evaluation material that shall be collected.
- Detail the areas of the programme to be evaluated
- Evaluation criteria
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The *evaluation* breaks down into the following *components*

*(final set of evaluation criteria will depend upon the objectives set for the programme evaluated)*

Planning of the research programme
- Preparation of the programme and planning of the programme content
- Research projects funded and funding decisions in creating the necessary preconditions for the programme

Activities and results of the research programme (most important component to evaluate)
- Scientific quality and results
- How are the programme objectives achieved?
- Added value of the programme
- Coordination activities and the results in relation to the available resources

Societal impacts of the research programme
IMPLEMENTATION OF EVALUATION

A key objective of evaluation is that it has immediate utility value. The following factors are therefore crucial:

- The evaluation questions are clearly defined
- The objectivity and expertise of the evaluators are ensured
- High quality standards are maintained throughout the evaluation process
- The main conclusions of the evaluation are clearly set out and their relevance to the programme objectives is established
- Careful thought shall be given to the materials collected for the evaluation and to the criteria and methods applied
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Duties and responsibilities of parties involved in the evaluation

- The final evaluation of a research programme is conducted by the method of peer evaluation, in which a group of primarily foreign experts evaluates the success of the programme.

- Programme steering group plans and implements the programme evaluation, appoints a Chair for the evaluation panel as well as its members, draws up an assignment for the panel including the objectives of the evaluation.

- Programme manager (and AoF civil servants): Assisting role in the evaluation process, Collects, compiles and prepares the evaluation materials for the panel; Organises the self-evaluation, Presents to the panel Finnish innovation system, the Academy's role, tasks and organisation and the programme instrument.

- Researchers assess the programme for general success as well as their own contribution.
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REPORTING AND UTILISATION OF EVALUATION

Evaluation report prepared by the evaluation panel (sometimes with an expert secretary)
• Description of the evaluation panel, its composition and assignment
• A brief description of the evaluation panel's work: meetings, interviews, material used in the evaluation
• Description of the evaluation criteria and methods used by the evaluation panel
• Evaluation proper
• Conclusions and recommendations

• Research councils: draw up an after-care plan for the programme, including proposals on the implementation of the recommendations (in so far as they are considered justified)
• Other funding bodies supporting the research
• Researchers, other end-users of the results and the media
FUTURE

New Academy of Finland’s Research Programme Strategy
will be published soon (previous for 2003-2007)

• More focus on impact evaluation of research programmes
• Revised evaluation guidelines